Planning and Environment Act 1987

Melbourne Planning Scheme

Amendment C466melb

Explanatory Report

Overview

Amendment C466melb seeks to make minor changes and improvements to the Melbourne Planning Scheme (the Scheme) to ensure the Scheme is clear, concise and up to date.

The amendment amends incorrect overlay mapping affecting multiple sites; amends inaccurate descriptions of heritage places in the Schedule to the Heritage Overlay and *Heritage Places Inventory March* 2022 (City of Melbourne, August 2025); rezones an individual site to reflect the intended use of the site; and amends the drafting of planning provisions to improve clarity and remove redundant content.

Where you may inspect this amendment

The amendment can be inspected free of charge at the City of Melbourne's online engagement hub at: https://participate.melbourne.vic.gov.au/amendment-c466

The amendment is available for public inspection, free of charge, during office hours at the following place:

City of Melbourne Customer Service Counter
Ground Floor Melbourne Town Hall
120 Swanston Street, Melbourne

The amendment can also be inspected free of charge at the Department of Transport and Planning website at http://www.planning.vic.gov.au/public-inspection or by contacting the office on 1800 789 386 to arrange a time to view the amendment documentation.

Submissions

Any person may make a submission to the planning authority about the amendment. Submissions about the amendment must be received by Monday 11 May 2026.

A submission must be lodged in one of the following ways:

Online: City of Melbourne participate website page:
https://participate.melbourne.vic.gov.au/
By email: planningpolicy@melbourne.vic.gov.au

By post: Manager Planning Policy, City of Melbourne, GPO Box 1603. Melbourne VIC 3001
In person: Customer Service Counter, Melbourne Town Hall, 120 Swanston Street, Melbourne

Panel hearing dates

In accordance with clause 4(2) of Ministerial Direction No.15 the following panel hearing dates have been set for this amendment:

- Directions hearing: week commencing 14 September 2026
- Panel hearing: week commencing 12 October 2026

Details of the amendment

Who is the planning authority?

This amendment has been prepared by Melbourne City Council which is the planning authority for this amendment.

Land affected by the amendment

The proposed amendment affects land in the Melbourne Planning Scheme as follows.

- Rezones the land at 70-90 Chelmsford Street, Kensington from Mixed Use Zone (MUZ) to Public Park and Recreation Zone (PPRZ).
- Amends planning scheme maps to correctly apply mapping to the Chinese Honey Locust Tree at 607-619 Bourke Street, Melbourne, which is currently listed in Schedule 2 to the Environmental Significance Overlay.
- Amends planning scheme maps to delete incorrect mapping of the Heritage Overlay from:
    - 127-133 Leicester Street, Carlton (HO63)
    - 504 Spencer Street, West Melbourne (HO788)
    - 452-456 Lonsdale Street, Melbourne (HO719)
- Amends planning scheme maps to delete incorrect mapping of the Heritage Overlay from the following site (where a precinct overlay will continue to apply):
    - 15-21 Heffernan Lane, Melbourne (HO668)
- Amends planning scheme maps to correctly apply mapping to the following sites currently listed in the Schedule to the Heritage Overlay:
    - 11 Heffernan Lane, Melbourne (HO668)
    - Part of 607-619 Bourke Street, Melbourne (HO512)
    - Part of 266-272 Exhibition Street, Melbourne (HO633)
    - 25-29 Wills Street, Melbourne (HO759)
    - Part of University of Melbourne, Parkville Campus – Cricket Pavilion & Scoreboard (HO333)

    - Part of 37-43 Gower Street, Kensington (HO234)
    - 119-125 Leicester Street, Carlton (HO63)
    - 502 Spencer Street, West Melbourne (HO788)
    - Part of 64-78 Lonsdale Street, Melbourne (HO711)
    - 472-474 Lonsdale Street, Melbourne (HO719)
    - 415-417 Collins Street, Melbourne (HO608)
- Updates planning scheme ordinance and/or incorporated documents affecting:
    - All land in Schedule 2 to the Comprehensive Development Zone (CDZ2).
    - All land in Schedules 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 & 7 to the Capital City Zone (CCZ1, CCZ2, CCZ3, CCZ5, CCZ6 and CCZ7).
    - All land in Schedules 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7 to the Docklands Zone (DZ1, DZ2, DZ3, DZ4, DZ5, DZ6 and DZ7).
    - 135-149 Kings Way, Southbank, by including the property in Map 1 of Schedule 1 to the Design and Development Overlay (DDO1).
    - 607-619 Bourke Street, Melbourne, by:
        - Updating Schedule 2 to the Environmental Significance Overlay (ESO2) to make a correction to the address of the significant tree on the property.
        - Amending the property description in the Schedule to the Heritage Overlay (HO) to correct an error and reflect that HO512 only applies to part of the property.
        - Making a correction to the property address in the Heritage Places Inventory incorporated document.
    - 37-43 Gower Street, Kensington, by:
        - Amending the property description in the Schedule to the Heritage Overlay (HO) to reflect the proposed mapping change that updates the application of HO234 to part of the property.
        - Amending the property address in the Heritage Places Inventory incorporated document.
    - Cricket Pavillion & Scoreboard, Uni of Melbourne, by amending the property description for HO333 in the Schedule to the Heritage Overlay (HO) and in the Heritage Places Inventory incorporated document to refer to the ‘Grandstand’ instead of ‘Scoreboard.’
    - 409-413 Collins Street, Melbourne, by amending the property description in the Schedule to the Heritage Overlay (HO) to indicate HO608 does not include 409-413 Collins Street.
    - 13 Heffernan Lane, Melbourne, by amending the property description in the Schedule to the Heritage Overlay (HO) to indicate HO668 does not include 13 Heffernan Lane.

What the amendment does

The amendment proposes to implement the *Melbourne Planning Scheme Review 2023* by correcting anomalies and errors in the Melbourne Planning Scheme. It

proposes to update several schedules to Clauses 37.02 Comprehensive Development Zone, 37.04 Capital City Zone, and 37.05 Docklands Zone by clarifying planning permit requirements and deleting an undefined land use term, and rezone the land 70-90 Chelmsford Street, Kensington from Mixed Use Zone to Public Park and Recreation Zone. The amendment also proposes to resolve inconsistencies between the Schedule to Clause 43.01 Heritage Overlay and associated Heritage Places Inventory incorporated document, correct a map error in Schedule 1 to Clause 43.02 Design and Development Overlay, and make associated changes to operational provisions and planning scheme maps.

Specifically related to Amendment C466melb, the following changes are sought:

Zoning maps

- Amend Planning Scheme Map No. 4 to rezone the land at 70-90 Chelmsford Street Kensington from Mixed Use Zone (MUZ) to Public Park and Recreation Zone (PPRZ).

Overlay maps

- Amend Planning Scheme Map No. 8ESO to apply mapping of the Environmental Significance Overlay Schedule 2 (ESO2) to the land at 607-619 Bourke Street, Melbourne, which is currently listed in the schedule.
- Amend Melbourne Planning Scheme Map Nos. 4HO, 5HO, 8HO and 8HO2 to correct mapping anomalies by deleting the Heritage Overlay from incorrectly mapped properties and applying it to the correct places.

A mapping reference table (Table 1) is included in Attachment 1.

Planning scheme ordinance

Zones

- Amend Schedule 2 to Clause 37.02 Comprehensive Development Zone (CDZ2), by revising and deleting permit exemptions relating to minor works, shopfront windows and building entranceways and improve clarity of permit exemptions for Advertising signs.
- Amend Schedules 1, 2, 3, 6 and 7 to Clause 37.04 Capital City Zone (CCZ1, CCZ2, CCZ3, CCZ6 and CCZ7) by revising and deleting permit exemptions relating to minor works and building entranceways and improve clarity of permit exemptions for Advertising signs.
- Amend Schedule 5 to Clause 37.04 Capital City Zone (CCZ5) to improve clarity in the drafting of permit exemptions relating to Advertising signs and by deleting ‘Minor sports and recreation facility’ from Section 2 of the Table of uses.
- Amend and Schedules 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 to Clause 37.05 Docklands Zone (DZ1, DZ2, DZ3, DZ4, DZ5 and DZ6) by revising and deleting permit exemptions

relating to minor works and building entranceways and improve clarity of permit exemptions for Advertising signs,
- Amend Schedules 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 to Clause 37.05 Docklands Zone (DZ1, DZ2, DZ3, DZ4, DZ5, DZ6 and DZ7) to improve clarity in the drafting of permit exemptions relating to Advertising signs.

Overlays

- Amend Schedule 2 to Clause 42.01 Environmental Significance Overlay (ESO2) to align the tree description with the address of the tree in the City of Melbourne's Exceptional Tree Register 2019.
- Amend the Schedule to Clause 43.01 Heritage Overlay (HO) by correcting errors in property addresses and descriptions in the 'Heritage place' column for HO234, HO333, HO512, HO608, and HO668.
- Amend Schedule 1 to Clause 43.02 Design and Development Overlay (DDO1) to ensure Map 1 in Schedule Section 6.0 Decision guidelines includes all of 135-149 Kings Way, Southbank.
- Amend the Schedule to Clause 72.04 Incorporated Documents by updating the title and date of the existing Heritage Places Inventory March 2022 (City of Melbourne, August 2025).
- A detailed overview of the proposed ordinance changes is included in Table 2 of Attachment 1.

Incorporated Documents

Replace the existing incorporated document *Heritage Places Inventory March* 2022 (City of Melbourne, August 2025) with an updated version that reflects the corrected description of heritage places.

Strategic assessment of the amendment

Why is the amendment required?

Amendment C466melb is required to improve the clarity, consistency and useability of the Scheme. It implements the recommendations of the *Melbourne Planning Scheme Review 2023*.

The changes proposed will:

- Improve the functioning of the Melbourne Planning Scheme.
- Streamline planning processes.
- Reduce the regulatory burden and cost to users of the Scheme.

The amendment will achieve this in the following ways:

- The deletion of redundant town planning satisfaction requirements will eliminate

unnecessary assessment of minor works where a permit is not required.
- The deletion of the undefined land use term ‘window display’ from the advertising sign exemption in zone schedules will eliminate confusion.
- The revised drafting of the land use table within Schedule 5 to the Capital City Zone will clearly demonstrate that ‘Minor sports and recreation facility’ is a Section 2 Use.
- The correction of a mapping error within Schedule 1 to the Design and Development Overlay will ensure the requirements of the overlay are correctly applied.
- The correction of errors in the Heritage Overlay mapping, HO Schedule and Heritage Places Inventory, will ensure heritage places within the municipality are properly recognised and protected and provide clarity to landowners and the community.
- The rezoning of land at 70-90 Chelmsford Street will reflect the purchase of the land by the City of Melbourne for the purpose of public open space.
- The correction of an error in the Environmental Significance Overlay schedule and mapping will ensure a significant tree is appropriately protected.

How does the amendment implement the objectives of planning in Victoria?

The amendment implements the following objectives in section 4(1) of the *Planning and Environment Act 1987* (the Act):

> *(a) to provide for the fair, orderly, economic and sustainable use, and development of land.*
>
> *(c) to secure a pleasant, efficient and safe working, living and recreational environment for all Victorians and visitors to Victoria.*
>
> *(d)to conserve and enhance those buildings, areas or other places which are of scientific, aesthetic, architectural or historical interest, or otherwise of special cultural value.*
>
> *(e) to protect public utilities and other assets and enable the orderly provision and coordination of public utilities and other facilities for the benefit of the community.*

The proposed amendment is consistent with these objectives as it will ensure that the identified anomalies are corrected that will result in efficiencies in the functionality of the Scheme. Additionally, the amendment will ensure that heritage controls protect identified heritage, and incorrectly applied heritage controls are removed. The amendment will support the provision of public open space through a rezoning.

How does the amendment address any environmental, social and economic effects?

Environmental effects

The amendment will have minimal adverse effects on the environment. The changes are largely administrative and are not likely to result in significant additional development.

Social effects

The corrections and removal of redundant and unclear planning controls will enable the public to better navigate the planning scheme and result in a clearer planning system for applicants and decision makers.

The rezoning of land to support public recreation and open space is expected to have a net positive impact on community infrastructure.

The correction of anomalies in the heritage overlay, significant tree controls and associated mapping will improve the protection of heritage places and a significant tree. This will support the preservation of important social and cultural values.

Economic effects

The amendment is expected to result in modest economic benefits by ensuring the accuracy of planning controls and reducing administrative burden for the benefit of applicants, decision makers and other stakeholders.

Does the amendment address climate change?

The land at 70-90 Chelmsford Street is subject flooding and is affected by the Land Subject to Inundation Overlay

A Climate Change Consideration report has been prepared for the proposed rezoning of 70-90 Chelmsford Street, because this part of the amendment will have the effect of enabling a new use and development of land that is likely to be exposed to natural hazards (flooding).

The use and development of the site, to be enabled by the proposed rezoning, will improve the resilience of the site to climate change impacts. The Concept Plan for the future open space includes the following elements that will help to mitigate the effects of climate change:

- raingardens in the northern (lowest) section of the site, which are designed to capture and treat stormwater runoff from the site before it enters the drainage network.
- a significant increase in permeable surfaces, replacing non-permeable surfaces that currently occupy most of the site.
- an increase in vegetation and permeable surfaces will have other positive effects, including cooling and mitigating greenhouse gas emissions.

The remainder of the changes proposed in the amendment are not subject to Ministerial direction 22, as they do not:

- Enable non-urban land to be used and developed as urban land.

- Enable significant change to and/or intensification of the use and development of urban land.
- Enable a new use and development of land (whether or not subject to the grant of a planning permit) that may be exposed to a natural hazard that arises from, or is likely to arise from, the impacts of climate change.

Does the amendment address relevant bushfire risk?

The proposed amendment applies to an urban area which is not subject to bushfire risk.

Does the amendment comply with the requirements of any other Minister's Direction applicable to the amendment?

The amendment complies with the following Ministerial Directions:

Ministerial Direction Section 7(5) Form and Content

The amendment was drafted using the correct templates and the appropriate provisions.

Ministerial direction 1 – Potentially Contaminated Land

The site at 70-90 Chelmsford Street, Kensington, which is proposed to be rezoned to Public Park and Recreation Zone and developed for public open space, has been identified as potentially contaminated land. There is an existing Environmental Audit Overlay (EAO) that applies to the land.

As the proposed use of the site includes a children's playground, the requirements of clauses 5 and 6 of Ministerial direction 1 have been considered. Clause 5 states that, *in preparing an amendment which allows (whether or not subject to the grant of a permit) land to be used for a sensitive use, children's playground or secondary school a planning authority must:*

a) satisfy itself whether or not the land, or parts of the land, are potentially contaminated;

b) where it has determined that the land is not potentially contaminated, state the determination in the amendment Explanatory Report; and

c) where it has determined the land, or parts of the land, are potentially contaminated, must state the determination in the amendment Explanatory Report and satisfy itself that the environmental conditions of that land are or will be suitable for that use.

Council is satisfied that the land is potentially contaminated.

As part of the detailed design of the future public open space, an environmental consultant has been engaged by Council to undertake an environmental audit of the site and this work is currently underway.

Section 6 of Ministerial direction 1 states that:

In accordance with clause 5(c) a planning authority must comply with either sub-clause (1), (2) or (3):

(1) Before it gives a copy or notice of the amendment under Section 17, 18 or 19 of the Act a planning authority must ensure that an environmental auditor has issued a preliminary risk screen assessment statement stating that an environmental audit is not required for sensitive uses, children's playgrounds and secondary schools allowed by the amendment.

(2) Before it gives a copy or notice of the amendment under Section 17, 18 or 19 of the Act a planning authority must ensure that an environmental auditor has issued an environmental audit statement stating that the land is suitable for sensitive uses, children's playgrounds and secondary schools allowed by the amendment.

(3) Where a planning authority determines that complying with subclause (1) or (2) is difficult or inappropriate it may defer the requirements of those subclauses provided the requirements are included in the amendment through the application of an Environmental Audit Overlay or other appropriate measure. (emphasis added)

In accordance with clause 6(3) of Ministerial direction 1, the existing application of the EAO means that the requirement for a preliminary risk assessment or environmental audit statement may be deferred.

Ministerial direction 11 – Strategic assessment of amendments

The amendment complies with *Ministerial Direction No.1 – Strategic Assessment of Amendments* as the process of drafting the amendment has involved a comprehensive strategic evaluation of the amendment in accordance with this direction.

Ministerial Direction No. 15 (The planning scheme amendment process) under section 12(2)(a) of the Planning and Environment Act 1987

The amendment is able to comply with the timeframes and processes set out in this direction.

Ministerial direction 19 – Amendments that may result in impacts on the environment, amenity and human health

This Direction applies to the preparation of planning scheme amendments that may allow the use or development of potentially contaminated land, and/or trigger the requirements of Ministerial Direction No. 1 or State Environment Protection Policy (Prevention and Management of Contamination of Land).

This direction therefore applies to the proposed rezoning of 70-90 Chelmsford Street, Kensington as the site is identified as being is potentially contaminated through the application of the Environmental Audit Overlay (EAO).

In preparing a planning scheme amendment, a planning authority must:

- Seek the written views of the EPA about the potential impacts of the proposed amendment on the environment, amenity and human health.

- Include in the explanatory report a statement of how the proposed amendment addresses the views of the EPA.

The views of the EPA will be sought through the formal exhibition of the amendment.

As part of the design development of the future open space, Council has engaged an environmental auditor to assess the site and to ensure that site remediation is undertaken in accordance with EPA requirements.

Ministerial direction 22 – Climate change consideration

The amendment has considered the climate change impacts of flooding, relating to the proposed rezoning of 70-90 Chelmsford Street.

A Climate Change Consideration report has been prepared for the proposed rezoning of 70-90 Chelmsford Street to satisfy the requirements of Ministerial direction 22, because this part of the amendment will have the effect of enabling a new use and development of land that is likely to be exposed to natural hazards (flooding).

The use and development of the site, to be enabled by the proposed rezoning, will improve the resilience of the site to climate change impacts. The Concept Plan for the future open space includes the following elements that will help to mitigate the effects of climate change:

- raingardens in the northern (lowest) section of the site, which are designed to capture and treat stormwater runoff from the site before it enters the drainage network.
- a significant increase in permeable surfaces, replacing non-permeable surfaces that currently occupy most of the site.
- an increase in vegetation and permeable surfaces will have other positive effects, including cooling and mitigating greenhouse gas emissions.

The site is currently developed with a warehouse. The proposed development of public open space will reduce the built form on site and increase permeable surfaces. As such it will not exacerbate the risk of flood events to existing residents, property and infrastructure.

The remainder of the changes proposed in the amendment are not subject to Ministerial direction 22, as they do not:

- Enable non-urban land to be used and developed as urban land.
- Enable significant change to and/or intensification of the use and development of urban land.
- Enable a new use and development of land (whether or not subject to the grant of a planning permit) that may be exposed to a natural hazard that arises from, or is likely to arise from, the impacts of climate change.

How does the amendment support or implement the Planning Policy Framework and any adopted State policy?

The amendment seeks to correct errors within the Melbourne Planning Scheme that will assist in its administration . This implements part of the purpose of the planning scheme at Clause 01:

- *To provide a clear and consistent framework within which decisions about the use and development of land can be made.*

The amendment ensures the protection of heritage by correcting errors in the application of the Heritage Overlay. This supports the objective at Clause15.03-1S:

- *To ensure the conservation of place of heritage significance.*

The amendment also supports the strategy at Clause 15.03-1L-02 to:

- *Retain trees with assessed heritage significance (as noted in the Schedule to the Heritage Overlay).*

The amendment also ensures that Council's open space is clearly identified within the scheme and appropriately zoned. This supports open space objectives and strategies at Clause 19.02-6S including:

Objective:

- *To establish, manage and improve a diverse and integrated network of public open space that meets the needs of the community.*

Strategies:

- *Ensure that land is set aside and developed in residential areas for local recreational use and to create pedestrian and bicycle links to commercial and community facilities.*
- *Ensure land identified as critical to the completion of open space links is transferred for open space purposes.*

Is the amendment consistent with the delivery of the relevant housing target set out in the Planning Policy Framework?

The amendment does not alter land available for housing and does not affect housing targets. Its purpose is administrative correction and improved clarity, and it has no impact on the delivery of housing in the municipality.

How does the amendment support or implement the Municipal Planning Strategy?

The amendment supports the implementation of the Municipal Planning Strategy (MPS) by correcting errors and inconsistences related to overlays and zoning within the scheme and removing unnecessary requirements. The amendment does not seek to change the MPS or any of the strategic directions. The amendment ensures greater clarity in urban planning outcomes in line with the existing vision and

strategies of the MPS.

Does the amendment make proper use of the Victoria Planning Provisions?

The amendment makes proper use of the Victoria Planning Provisions by improving the clarity, accuracy and, therefore, the effectiveness of existing planning scheme provisions. It also ensures that appropriate statutory requirements apply to the use and development of public and private land.

The basis for the application of both the ESO and HO to the significant tree at 607-619 Bourke Street Melbourne is as follows:

- The Chinese Honey Locust Tree has had heritage protection in the planning scheme since before the new format planning scheme. Six notable trees including this tree were identified on the heritage map in the old format planning scheme.
- The six notable trees were converted into heritage overlays through the translation to the new format planning scheme.
- Amendment C212 to the Melbourne Planning Scheme introduced a new Schedule 2 to the ESO including the Chinese Honey Locust Tree. The C212 Planning Panel report considered other cases where the ESO2 and HO were being applied to the same tree and supported this approach.
- The C212 explanatory report identifies that “To ensure both the heritage and environmental values of the trees are identified and protected, the amendment adds these trees to the schedule to the Heritage Overlay and also applies the ESO2 to them.”

The amendment does not propose to change the planning status of the subject tree, rather to amend planning scheme maps and the title description of the tree to ensure accuracy.

How does the amendment address the views of any relevant agency?

All relevant stakeholders and agencies will be notified at the exhibition stage, and their views considered as part of the amendment process.

Does the amendment address relevant requirements of the Transport Integration Act 2010?

The amendment will not have a significant impact on the transport system.

How does the amendment have regard to the principles set out in the *Yarra River Protection (Wilip-gin Birrarung murron) Act 2017* in relation to Yarra River land and other land, the use or development

of which may affect Yarra River land?

The following changes proposed in the amendment apply to Yarra River land:

- Text changes to schedules 1, 2, 3 & 7 to the Capital City Zone and Schedules 1, 2,3, 4, 5, 6 & 7 to the Docklands Zone that:
    - revise and delete permit exemptions relating to minor works, shopfront windows and building entranceways
    - improve clarity of permit exemptions for Advertising signs.
- Changes to heritage and environmental significance mapping and place descriptions for sites within the central city

Consideration of the principles in Part 2 of the *Yarra River Protection (Wilip-gin Birrarung murron) Act 2017* are set out below.

General principles

The amendment makes minor changes to the Melbourne Planning Scheme which are not considered to have an impact in relation to climate change (General principle 2).

The amendment seeks to improve the functionality and effectiveness of the Melbourne Planning Scheme which will support the protection of the environment and delivery of sustainable development (General principle 5).

Environmental principles

The amendment does not propose any changes that will result in a change to environmental conditions on Yarra River land (Environmental principles 1- 4).

Social principles

The amendment will not have a detrimental impact on the existing amenity of Yarra River land, including its natural features, character and appearance (Social principle 1).

Recreational principles

The amendment will not result in any change to community access to, and use and enjoyment of, Yarra River land (Recreational principle 1).

Cultural principles

The amendment is not expected to impact on Aboriginal cultural values, heritage and knowledge or post European settlement heritage associated with the identity, amenity and use of Yarra River land (Cultural principles 1 & 3).

Management principles

The amendment does not introduce any new policies or programs relating to Yarra River land (Management principles 1 & 2).

Resource and administrative costs

What impact will the new planning provisions have on the resource and administrative costs of the responsible authority?

The amendment will have a positive effect on resource and administrative costs as it in part removes redundant planning controls.

Attachment 1

Table 1 - Mapping changes

Location	Land /Area Affected	Mapping Reference	Changes to Planning Scheme	Explanation
Kensington	70-90 Chelmsford Street	Melbourne C466melb 0014znMap04 Exhibition	Amend Zone Map 4 to rezone to the land from MUZ to PPRZ.	Land has been acquired by the City of Melbourne for the purpose of open space. The proposed zone will support the development and on-going use of the site for public open space.
Melbourne	607-619 Bourke Street (Chinese Honey Locust Tree)	Melbourne C466melb 013esoMap08 Exhibition	Amend Map 8ESO so that ESO2 is shown as applying to the tree. The extent should be the extent used in the Exceptional Tree Register.	The Chinese Honey Locust Tree at 607-619 Bourke Street, Melbourne is identified in the Exceptional Tree Register and is listed in Schedule 2 to the Environmental Significance Overlay. However, it is not included in the relevant planning scheme maps for ESO2, due to a clerical error.
Carlton	119-125 Leicester Street and 127-133 Leicester Street	Melbourne C466melb 010hoMap 05 Melbourne C466melb 003d-hoMap 05	Amend Map 5HO to apply HO63 to 119 - 125 Leicester Street and delete HO63 from 127-133	HO63 is incorrectly mapped as applying to 127-133 Leicester Street instead of 119-125

			Leicester Street.	Leicester Street.
Melbourne	266-272 Exhibition Street	Melbourne C466melb008ho2Map08 Melbourne C466melb 002d-ho2Map08	Amend Map 8HO2 to apply HO633 to 266-272 Exhibition Street to reflect the addressing in the HO schedule.	HO633 is mapped as partially applying to a contemporary building. It should apply to the c1910 and c1891 heritage buildings adjacent to the contemporary building.
Melbourne	64-78 Lonsdale Street	Melbourne C466melb008ho2Map08 Melbourne C466melb 002d-ho2Map08	Amend Map 8HO2 to apply HO711 to all of 64-78 Lonsdale Street to reflect the addressing in the HO schedule.	HO711 is mapped incorrectly as applying to part of the Khyat Building at 64-70 Street only and part of a laneway to the east.
Melbourne	472-474 Lonsdale Street	Melbourne C466melb 007ho2Map08 Melbourne C466melb 005d-ho2Map08	Amend Map 8HO2 to apply HO719 to 472-474 Lonsdale Street to reflect the addressing in the HO schedule.	HO719 incorrectly mapped to 452-456 Lonsdale Street but should be 472-474 Lonsdale Street (which is part of a larger site known as 240-278 William Street).
Melbourne	25-29 Wills Street	Melbourne C466melb 007ho2Map08 Melbourne C466melb 005d-ho2Map08	Amend Map 8HO2 to apply HO759 to 25-29 Wills Street to reflect the addressing in the HO schedule.	The heritage building on the site is the former Melbourne Telephone Exchange at the northern part of 25-29 Wills Street. A contemporary building has

				been constructed on the site, to south and west (rear) of the heritage building. HO759 is incorrectly applied to the contemporary building on the site, rather than the heritage building.
West Melbourne	502 Spencer Street and 504 Spencer Street	Melbourne C466melb 001d-hoMap08 Melbourne C466melb 009hoMap08	Amend Map 8HO to apply HO788 to 502 Spencer Street and delete HO788 from 504 Spencer Street.	HO788 was incorrectly mapped as applying to 504 Spencer Street instead of 502 Spencer Street.
Melbourne	401-417 Collins Street	Melbourne C466melb 006ho2Map08	Amend Map 8HO2 to extend HO608 to include 415-417 Collins Street to reflect the addressing in the HO schedule.	HO608 is mapped as applying to 401-403 Collins Street and 405-407 Collins Street only and omits the site at 415-417 Collins Street.
Melbourne	11 Heffernan Lane	Melbourne C466melb008ho2Map08 Melbourne C466melb 002d-ho2Map08	Amend Map 8HO2 to apply HO668 to 11 Heffernan Lane to reflect the addressing in the HO schedule.	HO668 is mapped incorrectly over 15-21 Heffernan Lane.
Kensington	37-43 Gower Street	Melbourne C466melb 011hoMap04 Exhibition	Amend Map 4HO to apply HO234 to the whole extent of the fence along 37-43 Gower Street.	HO234 mapping includes only part of the fence of the Holy Rosary Catholic Primary School at 37-43 Gower Street. It

				should include the whole extent.
Melbourne University	Cricket Pavilion & Scoreboard, Uni of Melbourne	Melbourne C466melb 012hoMap05 Exhibition	Amend Map 5HO to apply HO333 to the pavilion as well as the grandstand.	HO333 incorrectly does not include or refer to the pavilion.
Melbourne	607-619 Bourke Street (Chinese Honey Locust Tree)	Melbourne C466melb 006ho2Map08 Melbourne C466melb 004d-ho2Map08	Amend Map 8HO2 so that HO512 is on the location of the tree. The HO extent should be the extent used in the Exceptional Tree Register.	HO512 does not accurately reflect the location of the tree.

Table 2 Ordinance Changes

Relevant Planning Scheme Clauses	Changes to Planning Scheme	Explanation
Schedule 2 to the Comprehensive Development Zone Schedule 1, 2, 3, 6 & 7 to the Capital City Zone Schedule 1, 2,3, 4, 5 & 6 to the Docklands Zone	Amend the following text under No Permit Required in each schedule: ~~The construction, or modification, of a waste pipe, flue, vent, duct, exhaust fan, air conditioning plant, lift motor room, skylight, security camera, street heater or similar minor works provided they are to the satisfaction of the responsible authority.~~ A modification to the shop front window or entranceway of a building ~~to the satisfaction of the responsible authority having regard to the architectural character of the building.~~ An addition or modification to a verandah, awning, sunblind or canopy of a building ~~to the satisfaction of the responsible authority.~~	The 'town planning satisfaction' (TPS) assessment and approval process triggered by the CCZ, CDZ and DZ for minor works is confusing for applicants and does not typically result in meaningful consideration of planning matters. The removal of this wording will remove an unnecessary administrative burden on landowners and business owners. *Note: The minor works in the first dot point (waste pipe, flue, vent, duct, etc) are covered by a permit exemption at Clause 62.02-2. Deletion of the entire TPS requirement will not trigger the need for a permit.*
Schedule 1 to the Design and Development Overlay, Clause 6.0, Map 1	Amend Map 1 in Clause 6.0 of DDO1 to include the land at 135-149 Kings Way.	Map 1 at Clause 6.0 in Schedule 1 of the Design and Development Overlay does not align with the DDO1 map in the planning scheme. Map 1 in the Schedule needs to be

Relevant Planning Scheme Clauses	Changes to Planning Scheme	Explanation
		amended to include this site, so that the relevant requirements of DDO1 will apply to the site and to provide clarity for the assessment of any future permit application for the site.
Schedules 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7 to the Capital City Zone, Clause 5.0, (Clause 6.0 in CCZ5) Schedules 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6 to the Docklands Zone, Clause 6.0 Schedule 7 to the Docklands Zone, Clause 5.0 Schedule 2 to the Comprehensive Development Zone, Clause 7.0	Delete "A window display" from "Advertising Sign" clauses in relevant zone Schedules.	The sign clauses in the relevant zone Schedules include a permit exemption for a 'window display'. The 'window display' exemption has led to the incorrect interpretation that a sign does not require a planning permit if it is located behind a window, where it would require a permit if it were on the exterior of the building. The proposed change will eliminate the misinterpretation that a permit is not required for a sign that is located behind a window.
Schedule 5 to the Capital City Zone, Clause 1.0	Amend the following text in Section 2 of the land use table in Schedule 5 to the Capital City Zone: *Leisure and Recreation (other than ~~Minor sports and recreation facility and~~ informal outdoor recreation).*	The drafting of the Land Use Table at Clause 1.0 of the CCZ5 has been identified as causing confusion for permit applicants and other users of the scheme. Specifically, there is a potential lack of clarity regarding the land use 'Minor sports and recreation facility' (MSRF). It is open to interpretation as to whether the land use is prohibited or whether it is permissible with a planning permit. Council has consistently determined that MSRF is a 'Section 2 – permit required' land use. The proposed change to the drafting of the land use table will remove any doubt that may arise for other users of the scheme. It is appropriate that MSRF is a Section 2 use, as the requirement for a planning permit ensures that the potential amenity impacts of a proposed facility can be assessed in the context of surrounding land uses. This is consistent with similar zones, with MSRF a Section 2 use in the majority of Capital City Zone Schedules and the

Relevant Planning Scheme Clauses	Changes to Planning Scheme	Explanation
		Mixed Use Zone (the adjacent zone to the CCZ5).
Schedule 2 to the Environmental Significance Overlay	Amend the description of the Chinese Honey Locust tree to reflect the address of the tree in the City of Melbourne's *Exceptional Tree Register 2019* - 607-619 Bourke Street, Melbourne.	It is proposed to amend the Schedule, so that the address of the tree aligns with the description in the City of Melbourne's Exceptional Tree Register 2019 - 607-619 Bourke Street, Melbourne.
Schedule to the Heritage Overlay	Amend the property description in the HO schedule from "HO608 401-417 Collins Street, Melbourne" to "HO608 401-403, 405-407 and 415-417 Collins Street, Melbourne" to reflect it does not include 409-413 Collins Street.	The Schedule to the Heritage Overlay states that HO608 applies to 401-417 Collins Street, Melbourne. The site at 409-413 Collins Street has a separate HO listing (HO1009). The proposed change will accurately represent the affected sites and will reflect that HO608 does not include 409-413 Collins Street.
Schedule to the Heritage Overlay	Amend the property description in the HO schedule from "11-13 Heffernan Lane" to "11 Heffernan Lane".	The original assessment of the heritage place identifies the building that is at 11 Heffernan Lane as being of significance and does not reference the brick wall or other elements at 13 Heffernan Lane.
Schedule to the Heritage Overlay Heritage Places Inventory (Incorporated Document)	Amend the property description in the HO schedule from "HO234 27-37 Gower Street, Kensington" to "HO234 27, 29, 31, 33, 35, Part 37-43 Gower Street, Kensington". Amend the property description in the Heritage Places Inventory from "37-43 Gower Street, includes 37 Gower Street (Fence and Gateway)" to "37-43 Gower Street (Part, Fence and Gateway)".	The original assessment of the heritage place at 37-43 Gower Street (Holy Rosary School) shows that the fence at this site is of significance. The proposed changes will more accurately reflect the heritage fabric on the site.
Schedule to the Heritage Overlay Heritage Places Inventory (Incorporated Document)	Amend the property description in the HO schedule from "HO333 Cricket Pavilion & Scoreboard, Uni of Melbourne" to "HO333 Cricket Pavilion and Grandstand, Uni of Melbourne". Amend the property description in the Heritage Places Inventory from "Cricket Pavilion & Scoreboard" to "Cricket Pavilion and Grandstand"	The 'Pavilion' is listed in the Schedule under HO333 but not mapped, and the 'Grandstand' is mapped under HO333 but not listed in the Schedule. The 'Scoreboard' has been removed from the site. The amended listing in the Schedule and Inventory will accurately reflect that both buildings should be recognised in HO333 and that the scoreboard has been removed.

Relevant Planning Scheme Clauses	Changes to Planning Scheme	Explanation
Schedule to the Heritage Overlay Heritage Places Inventory (Incorporated Document)	Amend the description in the HO Schedule from "HO512 Chinese Honey Locusts Tree, King Street, Melbourne" to "HO512 Chinese Honey Locust Tree, Part 607-619 Bourke Street, Melbourne" Amend the description in the Heritage Places Inventory from "Chinese Honey Locusts Tree, King Street" to "607-619 (Part, Chinese Honey Locust Tree), Bourke Street"	The Schedule to the Heritage Overlay states that HO512 applies to Chinese Honey Locusts Tree, King Street, Melbourne. While the tree is on the King Street frontage, the correct address of the site is 607-619 Bourke Street, Melbourne. It is listed at this address in the Significant Tree Register. The correct common name of this species of tree is Chinese Honey Locust Tree ('locust' in the singular).
Schedule to Clause 72.04 Incorporated Documents	Amend the date of the Heritage Places Inventory March 2022 (City of Melbourne, August ~~2024~~ 2025) to reflect the updated version.	Amendment C466 proposes a small number of changes to the Heritage Places Inventory.
	Amend the incorporated document Heritage Places Inventory March 2022 (City of Melbourne, August 2024) by correcting addressing anomalies as listed above.	The corrections to the heritage inventory will form part of the updated incorporated document.